

SINGLE BENCH



4

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 203 /2006

PETITIONER

78/02
Presented by Shri. Raju Meshram
dated 3/11/07

Alakhram Sahu
S/o.- Premsingh Sahu
Aged about- 46 years,
Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle
School, ^{Parma} Block- Chhuriya, Distt.-
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

RECEIVED-COPY
3/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh
Through: - Secretary,
School Education Department
Ministry, D.K.S. Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)
2. District Education Officer,
Rajnandgaon, Collectorate,
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
3. Principal,
Govt. High School Jangalpur
Block Chuuriya, Distt.-
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF

THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 201 सन् 2007

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>18.1.2007</u> Mr. Ravi Maheshwari, Advocate for the petitioners. Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government advocate for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 201 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

P.T.O.

25/1

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 201 OF 2007

Petitioner : Prem Kumar Sahu
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and
others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 202 OF 2007

Petitioner : Khelchand Garhpayale
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and
others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 203 OF 2007

Petitioner : Alakhram Sahu
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and
others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 204 OF 2007

Petitioner : Vimla Maheshwari
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and
others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 205 OF 2007

Petitioner : Balvant Singh
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and
others

(21)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 206 OF 2007

Petitioner : Durjan Singh Silata
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 207 OF 2007

Petitioner : Jagbir Jarmuna
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 208 OF 2007

Petitioner : Prahlad Kumar Amariya
versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ravi Maheshwari, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 18th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Government Advocate appearing for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*¹.

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).
3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.
4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge